


exo-boat transition state.⁹ Since the methoxyl substituent in the initially generated enolate anion is consequently α -oriented, i.e., positioned nearly orthogonal to the π electrons, further transannular C-C bond formation is now stereoelectronically disfavored and does not operate.


In yet another fascinating reaction, heating of **10** with potassium hydride and 18-crown-6 in dry tetrahydrofuran afforded **14** (40%).



Because detailed spectroscopic analysis of this tricyclic ketone did not allow for definitive determination of the position of its cyclobutene double bond, suitable degradation was undertaken. Thus, ozonolysis of **14** and subsequent treatment of stable ozonide **15** with FeSO₄/Cu(OAc)₂¹⁰ in methanol gave the cyclopentene derivative **17**. The formation of **17** unequivocally establishes the site of unsaturation to be as in **14** rather than **13**.

This transformation is believed to originate from enolate anion **11** as the first-formed intermediate. Due to the large amount of strain associated with the bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-1(6)-ene part structure of **13**, intramolecular displacement of methoxide in **11** is energetically disfavored. Instead, enolate isomerization of **11** to **12** operates, with tetrahydrofuran or 18-crown-6 perhaps acting as the proton source, followed by S_N' transannular "scaffolding" to deliver the observed tricyclic product.

In order to scrutinize the kinetic consequences of methoxyl stereochemistry, alcohol **18** was also heated as its potassium salt. Under the same conditions, **19** was isolated in ca. 60% yield.



Charge annihilation within the intermediate enolate anion by S_N' ejection of methoxide must consequently also be facile. This is because modest conformational flexing within cyclobutenes conveniently permits attainment of the necessary stereoalignment from either π face.

(9) The various mechanistic pathways open to these alcohols will be discussed in detail at a later date.

(10) (a) Schreiber, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 6163. (b) Schreiber, S. L.; Liew, W.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 2363. (c) Schreiber, S. L.; Liew, W.-F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 2980. (d) Schreiber, S. L.; Sammakia, T.; Hulin, B.; Schulte, G. *Ibid.* **1986**, *108*, 2106. (e) Schreiber, S. L.; Hulin, B.; Liew, W.-F. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 2945.

Among the many interesting facets of these reactions is their mixed stereochemical course. Whereas the **5** → **6** and **18** → **19** processes involve a trans-antiplanar arrangement of the entering and leaving groups, the conversion of **12** to **14** occurs in syn fashion (as does **2** → **3**). Further, the preceding observations show the S_N' displacement of methoxide by enolate ions to proceed with reasonable efficiency and to constitute an exceptionally powerful synthetic method.

Acknowledgment. This investigation was supported by NIH Grants CA-12115 (to L.A.P.) and GM-32527 (to S.L.S.).


An Efficient Silylformylation of Alkynes Catalyzed by Rh₄(CO)₁₂

Isamu Matsuda,* Akira Ogiso, Susumu Sato, and Yusuke Izumi

Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Nagoya University Chikusa, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

Received December 6, 1988

A combination of transition-metal complexes and hydrosilanes is a useful tool for highly selective transformations of alkenes, alkynes, and carbonyl groups.¹ In particular, cobalt-catalyzed incorporation of carbon monoxide is interesting as a unique method for the homologation of alkenes, aldehydes, and cyclic ethers, in which R₃Si-Co(CO)₄ plays an important role.² On the other hand, while hydroformylation is one of the most useful processes for the practical synthesis of aldehydes,³ no analogous operation toward acetylenic compounds has been developed because of the concomitant formation of undesired products.⁴ An excellent precedent is the selective acylation of 1-alkynes at the terminal carbon with the assistance of Rh₄(CO)₁₂,⁵ or with a stoichiometric amount of R-Mn(CO)₅,⁶ to give α,β -unsaturated enones. A formal silylformylation of 1-alkynes to give 3-silyl-2-alkenals **2**⁷ can represent a useful synthetic approach to these valuable building blocks, due to the ready accessibility and elaboration of alkynes. We have designed a simple formylation reaction of alkynes in the presence of carbon monoxide, employing dimethylphenylsilane (Me₂PhSiH) instead of H₂, and Rh₄(CO)₁₂ as catalyst and have found a novel and selective formylation pattern of alkynes to give **2**. We report here the scope and limitations of this new catalytic silylformylation of alkynes.



When an alkyne **1** was allowed to react with 1 equiv each of Me₂PhSiH and Et₃N, using a catalytic amount (1 mol %) of Rh₄(CO)₁₂ in benzene solution under CO (30 kg/cm²) at 100 °C

(1) Colvin, E. W. *Silicon in Organic Synthesis*; Butterworths: London, 1981; pp 325-336.

(2) Murai, S.; Sonoda, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979**, *18*, 837-846.

(3) (a) Pino, P.; Braca, G. *Organic Synthesis via Metal Carbonyls*; Wender, I.; Pino, P., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1977; Vol. 2, pp 41-231. (b) Pruet, R. L. *Advanced Organometallic Chemistry*; Stone, F. G. A., West, R., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1979; Vol. 17, pp 1-60. (c) Tkatchenko, I.; *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*; Wilkinson, G., Stone, F. G. A., Abel, E. W., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 8, pp 101-223.

(4) Pino, P.; Braca, G. *Organic Synthesis via Metal Carbonyls*; Wender, I.; Pino, P., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1977; Vol. 2, pp 419-516.

(5) Hong, P.; Mise, T.; Yamazaki, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987**, *334*, 129-140.

(6) Deshong, P.; Sidder, D. R.; Rybczynski, P. J.; Slough, G. A.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 2575-2585.

(7) Otera, J.; Mandai, T.; Shiba, M.; Saito, T.; Shimohata, K.; Takemori, K.; Kawasaki, Y. *Organometallics* **1983**, *2*, 332-336.

Table I. Silylformylation of Alkynes with Me₂PhSiH^a

entry	alkyne 1		product 2 ^b		
	R ¹	R ²	yield ^c (%)	Z:E ^d	
1	a	H	73	0:100	
2	b	Me	99	80:20	
3	c	C ₂ H ₅	91	100:0	
4	d	ⁿ C ₃ H ₇	93	95:5	
5	d	ⁿ C ₃ H ₇	62 ^e	90:10	
6	e	Me ₃ Si	55	100:0	
7	f	Ph	89	88:12	
8	g	Me ₃ SiCH ₂	93	91:9	
9	h	CH ₂ =CHCH ₂	90	48:52	
10	i	^c C ₆ H ₁₁	96	100:0	
11	j	HOCH ₂	83	37:63	
12	j	HOCH ₂	73 ^e	77:23	
13	k	Me	93	100:0	
14	l	ⁿ C ₃ H ₇	85	(70:30)	
15	m	Ph	90	95:5	
16	n	Ph	95	(89:11)	
17	o	Ph	CO ₂ Et	43	100:0
18	p	Me	CO ₂ Me	67	100:0

^aReactions were conducted on a scale of 2–10 mmol in benzene solution including 1 mol % of Rh₄(CO)₁₂ and equimolar amounts of 1, Me₂PhSiH, and Et₃N under CO pressure (10–30 kg/cm²) for 2 h at 100 °C. ^bAll products were identified by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and IR spectra. All new compounds gave satisfactory combustion analyses. ^cIsolated yield. ^dThe values in parentheses show the ratio of 2:3. ^eThe reaction was carried out in the absence of Et₃N.

for 2 h, a new compound **2** was obtained in excellent yield after purification of the reaction mixture by column chromatography. The most interesting feature of this result is the survival of the formyl group under the reaction conditions.⁸ Analogous reactions proceeded smoothly with both of the terminal or internal alkynes. The results are summarized in Table I. The presence of an extremely small quantity of Rh₄(CO)₁₂ was sufficient for complete conversion; for example, a turnover number of catalyst greater than 12 000 was observed in the case of **1d**. Catalysis by rhodium was demonstrated by control experiments for the case of **1f**, in which the incorporation of carbon monoxide was not observed either in the absence of catalyst or the presence of Co₂(CO)₈ or Ru₃(CO)₁₂ instead of Rh₄(CO)₁₂. Although the role of triethylamine is ambiguous at present, its inclusion improved yields of **2** and Z-selectivity in **2** as shown in entries 4 and 5. By contrast, the Z:E ratio for **2j** was greater in the absence of Et₃N (entries 11 and 12). The isomerization of (Z)-**2** to (E)-**2** under the reaction conditions is a possible explanation for entries 1, 9, and 11.

It should be noted that the terminal carbon of 1-alkynes is silylated specifically to give **2** (R² = H, entries 1–12) and that the olefinic part of **1h** and the hydroxy group of **1j** remained intact under the reaction conditions (entries 9, 11, and 12). A bulky substituent on the acetylenic carbon seems to prevent such silylformylation. 3,3-Dimethyl-1-butene, 1-phenyl-2-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne, and 1-trimethylsilylpropyne did not give any attractive products. Our results contrast those of a recent report on the reactions of alkynes in the presence of Rh₄(CO)₁₂.^{5,9} We therefore carried out the reaction of **1d** with Me₂PhSiH under a pressure of both CO (25 kg/cm²) and ethene (15 kg/cm²) in the presence of Rh₄(CO)₁₂. Aldehyde **2d** (95%) was again the sole product, and ethene was not incorporated at all.

The regioselectivity toward internal alkynes seems to depend on the steric effect of the substituent (entries 14 and 16). However, an alkoxy carbonyl group consistently contributes to the formation of **2** (entries 17 and 18), although the yields of formylated products are rather low; this point is quite separate from the observation that a steric effect seems to be more important than electronic

effects in determining the product distribution.⁵

Unfortunately, any clear information to rationalize the catalytic sequence has not been obtained from the results of control experiments and the spectroscopic observation of an equimolar mixture of Rh₄(CO)₁₂ and Me₂PhSiH in the presence of CO.¹⁰ The oxidative addition of Me₂PhSiH to form Me₂PhSiRh species may be an important step at the first stage as shown in hydro-silylations.¹¹ Mechanistic aspects aside, however, the present novel reaction composed of an alkyne, hydrosilane, and carbon monoxide should prove to be a simple and efficient approach to form **2**. The structure of **2** is of interest as a versatile building block for the synthesis of complex molecules via a Peterson olefination,¹² a Nazarov type cyclopentenone annelation,¹³ or a Trost type cyclopentane annelation.¹⁴

Acknowledgment. This research was partly supported by the Ishida Foundation. We thank Professor K. Itoh and Dr. H. Nagashima of Toyohashi University of Technology for their helpful discussion.

(10) Nagashima, H.; Itoh, K., private communications.

(11) Dickson, R. S. *Homogeneous Catalysis with Compounds of Rhodium and Iridium*; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, 1985; pp 108–117.

(12) Jung, M. E.; Gaede, B. *Tetrahedron* **1979**, *35*, 621–625. (b) Fleming, I.; Perry, D. A. *Tetrahedron* **1981**, *37*, 4027–4034.

(13) Jones, T. K.; Denmark, S. E. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1983**, *66*, 2377–2396.

(14) Trost, B. M.; Mignani, S. M.; Nanninga, T. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1602–1608.

Asymmetric Synthesis with Chiral Ferrocenylamine Ligands: The Importance of Central Chirality

Stephen D. Pastor* and Antonio Togni

Central Research Laboratories, Ciba-Geigy AG
R-1060, Postfach, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland
Received November 14, 1988

The development of synthetic methodology for the diastereo- and enantioselective formation of C–C bonds derived through the use of catalytic quantities of chiral transition-metal catalysts is today a topic of fundamental importance.^{1–6} In 1986 Ito and Hayashi reported an elegant synthesis of oxazolines utilizing a gold(I)-catalyzed aldol reaction in the presence of chiral ferrocenylamine ligands that possess both planar and central chirality.⁷ For example, the reaction of **1** with **2** catalyzed by bis(cyclohexyl isocyanide)gold(I) tetrafluoroborate, **3**,⁸ in the presence of the chiral ferrocenylamine ligand (*R*)-(*S*)-**4** gave a mixture of the *trans*- and *cis*-oxazolines **5** and **6**, respectively (Scheme I). The *trans* isomer **5** illustrated was the dominant isomer formed in 91% enantiomeric excess (ee).⁹

Kumada et al. investigated the effect of the central chirality of the stereogenic carbon atom of **4** on the diastereo- and enantioselectivity of transition-metal-catalyzed Grignard cross-coupling reactions.^{10,11} Kumada came to the reasonable conclusion from the experimental data obtained that the planar chirality of **4** plays

(1) (a) Parshall, G. W.; Nugent, W. A. *CHEMTECH* **1988**, 184–190. (b) Parshall, G. W.; Nugent, W. A. *CHEMTECH* **1988**, 314–320. (c) Parshall, G. W.; Nugent, W. A. *CHEMTECH* **1988**, 376–383.

(2) Morrison, J. D. *Asymmetric Synthesis*; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1985; Vol. 5.

(3) Bosnich, B. *Asymmetric Catalysis*; NATO series; Martinus Nijhoff: Dordrecht, 1986.

(4) Nögrádi, M. *Stereoselective Synthesis*; VCH: Weinheim, 1987.

(5) Brunner, H. *Top. Stereochem.* **1988**, *18*, 129–247.

(6) Brunner, H. *Synthesis* **1988**, 645–654.

(7) Ito, Y.; Sawamura, M.; Hayashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 6405–6406.

(8) Bonati, F.; Minghetti, G. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1973**, *103*, 373–386.

(9) (a) The original ee of 94% was revised in a subsequent paper by Ito et al. to 91%, which is in agreement with our results, see: Ito, Y.; Sawamura, M.; Hayashi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6215–6218. (b) Only one enantiomer of **5** and **6** is illustrated.

(10) Hayashi, T.; Tajika, M.; Tamao, K.; Kumada, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 3718–3719.

(11) Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Fukushima, M.; Mise, T.; Kagotani, M.; Tajika, M.; Kumada, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 180–186.

(8) The presence of the formyl group was confirmed by the observation of a carbonyl absorption at around 1690 cm⁻¹ and a proton signal at around 9.8 ppm in the IR and ¹H NMR spectra, respectively.

(9) (a) Doyama, K.; Joh, T.; Takahashi, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 4497–4500. (b) Mise, T.; Hong, P.; Yamazaki, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 238–242. (c) Doyama, K.; Joh, T.; Onitsuka, K.; Takahashi, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 649–650.